

REMARKS

Claims 1-18 are pending in the present application and have been rejected by the Examiner as follows. Claim 17 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Claims 1-18 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0139208 A1 (Tuli).

Regarding the Examiner's rejection of Claim 17 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, it is respectfully submitted that the term "applicable," as used in Claim 17 refers to the information being suitable for, or relevant to, the re-visited web page. For example, with reference to the paragraph beginning on Line 3 of Page 7, one skilled in the art would realize that (for security purposes) a password used on a previously visited web page may not be desirable for use on a re-visited web page. Accordingly, unless otherwise indicated, passwords would not be applicable to a re-visited web page. Therefore, the term applicable is used to indicate that the information is suitable for, or relevant to, the re-visited web page. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, of Claim 17 be withdrawn.

Regarding the Examiner's rejection under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) of independent Claim 1, the Examiner states that Tuli teaches each and every element of Claim 1. Claim 1 has been amended and is further distinguished.

Tuli discloses a system that allows multiple users operating a personal digital assistant (PDA) receiving information from a server via a cellular phone to access the

Internet or World Wide Web (WWW) to view and interact with these pages remotely.

Tuli further discloses that a Web server is connected to the Internet and converts an image of a Web page into a bit map format which is compressed and then sent via the cellular phone to the PDA. In other words, the PDA displays a bit map image of a part of a Web page. As taught by Tuli, the PDA does not interact directly with the Web page but is fed a bit map image with which the PDA responds. This can cause unnecessary delays and does not allow direct interaction with a Web page when the PDA is not wirelessly connected to the Web server.

In contrast, Claim 1, as amended, includes the recitation of wherein the previous display information of the web page includes a start position of a display area of the revisited web page and the start position corresponds with a start position of a last viewed display area of the web page at a time of a previous visit to the web page, which is neither taught nor suggested by Tuli. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) of Claim 1 be withdrawn.


Regarding the Examiner's rejection under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) of independent Claim 8, Claim 8 has been amended to contain similar recitations as contained in amended Claim 1. Namely, Claim 8, as amended, includes the recitation of wherein the previous display information of the web page includes a start position of a display area of the web page and the start position corresponds with a start position of a last viewed display area of the web page at a time of a previous visit to the web page, which is neither taught nor suggested by Tuli. Accordingly, for at least the same reasons

as set forth above with respect to the rejection of Claim 1, it is respectfully requested that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) of Claim 8 be withdrawn.

Independent Claims 1 and 8 are believed to be in condition for allowance. Without conceding the patentability per se of dependent Claims 2-7, and 9-18, these are likewise believed to be allowable by virtue of their dependence on their respective amended independent claims. Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections of dependent Claims 2-7, and 9-18 is respectfully requested.

Accordingly, all of the claims pending in the Application, namely, Claims 1-18, are believed to be in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner believe that a telephone conference or personal interview would facilitate resolution of any remaining matters, the Examiner may contact Applicants' attorney at the number given below.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Musella", with a stylized flourish at the end.

Michael J. Musella
Reg. No. 39,310
Attorney for Applicant

DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP
333 Earle Ovington Blvd.
Uniondale, New York 11553
Tel: (516) 228-8484
Fax: (516) 228-8516